



U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Information Policy
Suite 11050
1425 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

Patrick Eddington
Cato Institute
1000 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001
peddington@cato.org

Re: Appeal No. DOJ-AP-2019-004985
Request No. 19-142
MWH:JNW

VIA: FOIAOnline

Dear Patrick Eddington:

This is to advise you that your administrative appeal from the action of the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) was received in this Office on May 29, 2019. You appealed from OLC's denial of your request for expedited treatment of your Freedom of Information Act request.

In your appeal letter, you assert that your request is entitled to expedited treatment pursuant to the second standard enumerated in the Department of Justice's regulations. Under the second standard, you must show that there is "[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, if made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II). See also 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) (2018).

After carefully considering your appeal, I am affirming OLC's action in denying your request for expedited treatment. In deciding whether you have demonstrated that there is an "urgency to inform the public" under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) (2018), I considered three factors: "(1) whether the request concerns a matter of current exigency to the American public; (2) whether the consequences of delaying a response would compromise a significant recognized interest; and (3) whether the request concerns federal government activity." Al-Fayed v. CIA, 254 F.3d 300, 310 (D.C. Cir. 2001). Although your request concerns a federal government activity, you have not established that the requested records are a matter of current exigency to the American public, nor that delaying a response would compromise a significant recognized interest. In other words, you have not identified a time-sensitive, urgent need for these records. Furthermore, although you may well engage in the dissemination of information, you have not demonstrated that you are "primarily engaged" in disseminating information. See Landmark Legal Found. v. EPA, 910 F. Supp. 2d 270 (D.D.C. 2012) (noting that plaintiff must be "primarily, and not just incidentally, engaged in information dissemination"); ACLU of N. Cal. v. DOJ, No. 04-4447, 2005 WL 588354, at *14 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2005) (holding that information dissemination must be "*the* main activity" rather than merely "*a* main activity" of

- 2 -

plaintiff to satisfy expedition standard). Without such a showing, expedited processing pursuant to the second standard is not warranted.¹

Please be advised that this Office's decision was made only after a full review of this matter. Your appeal was assigned to an attorney with this Office who thoroughly reviewed and analyzed your appeal, your underlying request, and the action of OLC in response to your request.

If you are dissatisfied with my action on your appeal for expedited treatment of your request, you may file a lawsuit in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(iii).

For your information, the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) offers mediation services to resolve disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies as a non-exclusive alternative to litigation. Using OGIS services does not affect your right to pursue litigation. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, Room 2510, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001; email at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769. If you have any questions regarding the action this Office has taken on your appeal, you may contact this Office's FOIA Public Liaison for your appeal. Specifically, you may speak with the undersigned agency official by calling (202) 514-3642.

Sincerely,

6/4/2019

X 

Matthew Hurd, Associate Chief, for
Sean O'Neill, Chief, Administrative Appeals ...
Signed by: MATTHEW HURD

¹ I note that you are also seeking expedited processing of your appeal. For the same reason noted above, expedited treatment of your appeal is not warranted.